Prepared for submission to JHEP

# Effective models of quantum gravity induced by Planck scale modifications in the covariant quantum algebra

arXiv:1610.01480v1 [hep-th] 5 Oct 2016

**G.P. de Brito,**[a,1] **P.I.C. Caneda,**[a] **Y.M.P. Gomes,**[a] **J.T. Guaitolini Junior,**[a,b] **V. Nikoofard**[a,c]

[a] *Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF),*
*Rua Dr Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, CEP 22290-180*
[b] *Instituto Federal do Espírito Santo (IFES),*
*Av. Vitória 1729, Jucutuquara, Vitória, ES, Brazil, CEP 29040-780*
[c] *Departamento de Matemática, Física e Computação, Faculdade de Tecnologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,*
*Rodovia Presidente Dutra, Km 298, Polo Industrial, Resende, RJ, Brazil, CEP 27537-000*
*E-mail:* gpbrito@cbpf.br, caneda@cbpf.br, ymuller@cbpf.br, jguaitolini@ifes.edu.br, vahid@fat.uerj.br

Abstract: In this paper we introduce a modified covariant quantum algebra based in the so-called Quesne-Tkachuk algebra. By means of a deformation procedure we arrive at a class of higher derivative models of gravity. The study of the particle spectra of these models reveals an equivalence with the physical content of the well-known renormalizable and super-renormalizable higher derivative gravities. The particle spectrum exhibits the presence of spurious complex ghosts and, in light of this problem, we suggest an interesting interpretation in the context of minimal length theories. Also, a discussion regarding the non-relativistic potential energy is proposed.

[1] Corresponding author.

## Contents


**1 Introduction** **1**

**2 Modified Quesne-Tkachuk algebra** **4**
- 2.1 Construction of the algebra 4
- 2.2 Truncations 6
- 2.3 Generalized uncertainty principle 8

**3 Effective models of quantum gravity from minimal length deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory** **9**

**4 Renormalizability properties and the particle spectrum** **11**
- 4.1 Renormalizability 11
- 4.2 Particle spectrum and tree-level unitarity 12

**5 The non-relativistic potential energy** **15**

**6 Final remarks** **18**


## 1 Introduction

For the time being, our understanding of nature organizes itself in terms of four fundamental interactions: strong, electromagnetic, weak and gravitational. The first three possess a very well established description in terms of quantum fields, including an unified scheme given by Yang-Mills theories and leading to the Standard Model of particle physics. The gravitational interaction, on the other hand, remains as one of the most intriguing challenges of physics. From classical point of view, gravity is described by the General Relativity (GR) theory, producing excellent results in comparison with experimental tests (*e.g.* solar system tests, cosmological observations and the recent confirmation of gravitational waves). However, there is no satisfactory quantum theory of gravitation so far.

On the other hand, the construction of a quantum theory for gravity consists in one of the most challenging problems of the theoretical physics, since there is no experimental hints on what kind of effects we should expect from quantum corrections to the gravitational interaction. However, even without experimental evidences, a great deal of theoretical efforts has been done in the last decades. In this vein, several approaches have been proposed as quantum theories of gravity, for instance, string theories, loop quantum gravity, causal dynamical triangulations, causal sets and induced quantum gravity [1, 2]. Nevertheless, in the present state of art, none of the preceding theories give the final word in quantum gravity.

Rather than considering a full quantum theory of gravity, one can deal with effective theories of quantum gravity, which are promising approaches to implement quantum corrections to GR [3]. However, serious problems must be faced in this approach, mainly the incompatibility between renormalizability and unitarity, which are desired features in the quantum field theory formulation. On one hand, effective theories based on GR are non-renormalizable by power counting, since the coupling constant associated with gravitation has inverse canonical mass dimension. The issue with renormalizability may be solved by introducing higher derivative terms into the action, because in such theories the UV behavior of the propagator is more convergent than in the GR case [4–6]. On the other hand, unitarity is usually lost in higher derivative theories, since it leads to unphysical massive ghosts. The riddle of the incompatibility between renormalizability and unitarity was recently explored in Ref. [7], also relating the aforementioned features with the behavior of the non-relativistic potential energy associated with the gravitational field.

As a consequence of the association between the Planck length and the coupling constant of the gravitational interaction, the Planck scale is the natural regime in which we expect that quantum effects become most relevant to the gravitational interaction. Notwithstanding, gravity does not allow an arbitrarily amount of mass/energy in a very small region of spacetime, since it would collapse into a black hole [8]. This suggests a minimal length hypothesis, *i.e.* the existence of a fundamental length scale below which we cannnot access. The minimal length hypothesis may be achieved by modifications in the quantum algebra of position coordinates and conjugated *momenta*, which was first accomplished in a seminal paper by Snyder in 1947 [9]. Essentially, the minimal length idea arises from the Snyder algebra due to non-trivial commutation relations for the position coordinates operators, leading to modifications in the Heisenberg uncertainty relations, including a minimal length uncertainty. If we admit that the minimal length is also of the order of the Planck scale, one may expect that quantum effects in the gravitational interaction should be affected by the minimal length and non-commutative physics.

The interest on non-commutative and minimal length physics has increased considerably in the last two decades, specially due to certain important results within the context of string theories, loop quantum gravity and asymptotic safe gravity [10–13]. In this vein, we mentioned the so-called Kempf algebra [14], which modifies the quantum canonical commutation relations, also leading to a minimal uncertainty in the position measurements, however, this algebra is not covariant under Lorentz transformations. Despite of the non-covariance of this algebra, interesting studies was performed in connection with back hole thermodynamics [15–17] and some cosmological implications of the minimal length parameter [18].

Even more interesting, Quesne and Tkachuk built up a covariant version of the Kempf algebra [19, 20], describing a $D$-dimensional quantized spacetime and specified by the following generalized commutation relations

$$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i[(1-\xi P_\rho P^\rho)\eta^{\mu\nu} - \xi' P^\mu P^\nu], \tag{1.1a}$$

$$[X^\mu, X^\nu] = i\frac{2\xi - \xi' - (2\xi + \xi')\xi P_\rho P^\rho}{1-\xi P_\rho P^\rho}(P^\mu X^\nu - P^\nu X^\mu), \tag{1.1b}$$

$$[P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0\,, \tag{1.1c}$$

where $\mu,\ \nu,\ \rho = 0, 1, \cdots, D-1$ and $\xi, \xi'$ are non-negative parameters. The Quesne-Tkachuk algebra is deformation of the covariant Heisenberg quantum algebra, where $X^\mu$ and $P^\mu$ are, respectively, the deformed position and deformed momentum operators. A direct consequence of the algebra introduced by Quesne and Tkachuk is a generalization of the uncertainty principle, inducing the appearance of an isotropic minimal length given by $(\triangle X)_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{((D-1)\xi + \xi')[1 - \xi\langle(P^0)^2\rangle]}$, where $i = 1, \cdots, D-1$.

Moreover, instead of considering the full Quesne-Tkachuk algebra, there is an specific relation between the deformation parameters, namely $\xi' = 2\xi$, which lead to interesting consequences. For this choice of the deformation parameters, we may recast the present algebra as (up to first order in the parameter $\xi$)

$$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i[(1 - \xi P_\rho P^\rho)\eta^{\mu\nu} - 2\xi P^\mu P^\nu], \tag{1.2}$$

and $[X^\mu, X^\nu] = [P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0$. The above set of commutation relations admits the following representation

$$X^\mu = x^\mu, \tag{1.3a}$$

$$P^\mu = (1 - \xi\, p_\rho p^\rho)p^\mu. \tag{1.3b}$$

In addition, the usual representation $p^\mu = i\partial^\mu$, from ordinary Quantum Mechanics, suggests the following deformation procedure for the derivative operator

$$\partial^\mu \mapsto \nabla^\mu = \left(1 + \frac{l^2}{2}\,\Box\right)\partial^\mu, \tag{1.4}$$

where $l^2 \equiv 2\xi$ is defined as the minimal length parameter.

Essentially, the deformation in the derivative operator (Eq. 1.4) has been used as a systematic procedure to generate higher-derivative (HD) models, for instance: in the case spin-0 particles, minimal length corrections were implemented in the Klein-Gordon field, leading to a higher derivative theory for the scalar field [21]; for spin-1/2 fields, the deformation procedure was applied in order to construct a higher derivative version of the Dirac field [22]; some investigations were also performed in the context of electrostatic [23], magnetostatic [24], electrodynamics with external sources [25] and quantum electrodynamics [26]; finally, in a recent paper, Dias *et.al.* explored the issue of minimal length corrections in the context of Einstein-Hilbert theory [27]. Remarkably, it was recently suggested that this algebra may be viewed as an emergent effect of a supersymmetry breaking of a non-anticommutative superspace [28].

Realizing that there is a clear connection between minimal length deformations and higher derivative models, it is natural to think about this kind of deformation as a road to implement quantum corrections in the gravitational interaction. In this paper, we introduce a modification on the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra by introducing higher order corrections in the deformation parameter in order to get a class of (super-)renormalizable effective theories

of quantum gravity. As we shall see, the usual Quesne-Tkachuk algebra (with $\xi' = 2\xi$) leads to renormalizable 4-th derivative theory and, higher order (in the deformation parameter $\xi$) modifications in this algebra leads to a class of super-renormalizable models.

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we introduce and explore some features of the modified Quesne-Tkachuk algebra; in section 3, we establish a connection between the deformed version of the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian and the effective theories of quantum gravity; in section 4, we explore some features regarding the particle spectra and the renormalization properties related with the class of effective theories obtained from the minimal length deformation of the GR Lagrangian; finally, in the section 6, we present our conclusions.

Throughout this paper we use $c = \hbar = 1$, $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(+, -, \cdots, -)$, $R^{\mu}{}_{\nu\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\beta} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\nu\beta} - (\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta)$, $R_{\mu\nu} = R^{\beta}{}_{\mu\nu\beta}$ and $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$.

## 2 Modified Quesne-Tkachuk algebra

### 2.1 Construction of the algebra

The Quesne-Tkachuk algebra is the simplest possible generalization of the Heisenberg algebra that allows for a minimal length [19]. It is believed that the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra is just the first-order truncation of a more general algebra. A commutative spacetime regime of such algebra would also be of special interest. In order to be able to built up a new algebra, we propose the following representations

$$X^\mu = x^\mu \tag{2.1a}$$

$$P^\mu = F(p^2)p^\mu, \tag{2.1b}$$

where the lower-case position and momentum operators satisfy the usual Heisenberg algebra

$$[x^\mu, p^\nu] = -i\eta^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad [x^\mu, x^\nu] = [p^\mu, p^\nu] = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

For the Heisenberg algebra representations to be recovered as the low-energy limit of the (2.1), the deformation $F(p^2)$ must satisfy the condition

$$F(p^2) \to 1 \quad \text{as} \quad p^2 \to 0. \tag{2.3}$$

From the considerations above it is possible to set up an algebra. The position and momentum commutators among themselves remain trivially null. It is necessary only to compute the position-momentum commutator

$$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -iF(p^2)\eta^{\mu\nu} - 2iF'(p^2)p^\mu p^\nu, \tag{2.4}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to $p^2$. To close an algebra this must be expressed fully in terms of the new momentum operator (2.1b). The second contribution can be expressed as

$$-2i\frac{F'}{F^2}P^\mu P^\nu. \tag{2.5}$$

We thus arrive at a differential equation under the requirement of closure of the algebra

$$\frac{1}{F^2}\frac{dF}{dp^2} = G(P^2). \tag{2.6}$$

Without the form of the function $G$ we can't give an explicit solution. We study the simplest possibility where $G$ is actually a constant $G = -l^2/2$, in which case the solution to (2.6) is straightforward

$$F(p^2) = \frac{1}{1+\frac{l^2}{2}p^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

The parameter $l$ will be closely related to the minimal length, as we will discuss later. Now we need only express this deformation as a function of $P^2$ in order to close the algebra. This can be done by taking the inner product of (2.1b) with itself and using (2.7). This amounts to a simple second order equation for $p^2$ whose solution is

$$p^2 = 2\frac{1-\frac{l^2}{2}P^2+\sqrt{1-l^2P^2}}{l^4P^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

The choice of the positive square root above is fixed by the requirement that the Heisenberg algebra be recovered. Plugging this back into (2.4) closes the algebra we are proposing here

$$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-2l^2P^2}}{2}\eta^{\mu\nu} - l^2P^\mu P^\nu\right), \tag{2.9a}$$

$$[X^\mu, X^\nu] = 0, \tag{2.9b}$$

$$[P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0, \tag{2.9c}$$

with the representations

$$X^\mu = x^\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad P^\mu = \frac{1}{1+\frac{l^2}{2}p^2}p^\mu. \tag{2.10}$$

Notice that no approximations were made in the derivation of the algebra (2.9) and representations (2.10). Only a simplicity assumption $G = -l^2/2$ and the consistency condition (2.3) were needed. In this framework the algebra (2.9) is exact and holds for arbitrarily high momenta.

The new momentum operator representation in (2.10) induces a deformation of the derivative operator in configuration space

$$\partial_\mu \mapsto \nabla_\mu = \frac{1}{1-\frac{l^2}{2}\Box}\partial_\mu. \tag{2.11}$$

Notice that this deformation is ill-defined only for space-like momenta with

$$p^2 = -\frac{2}{l^2}. \tag{2.12}$$

Thus no problems concerning the analyticity of the deformation procedure arises when we exclude the possibility of tachyons.

### 2.2 Truncations

Though we were motivated by an only approximately spacetime commutative algebra, we built an algebra where this property is held exactly. As we will see the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra is encoded in the first order truncation of (2.9) for $p^2 \ll l^{-2}$, so the question arises as to how the non-commutativity disappears from the point of view of the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra. The answer is that non-commutativity is gradually removed at each order, to see that we study a few truncations.

- Zeroth-order approximation.

  It follows immediately that if we neglect $l^2$, we recover the Heisenberg algebra along with it's representations

  $$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i\eta^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad [X^\mu, X^\nu] = [P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

  $$X^\mu = x^\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad P^\mu = p^\mu. \tag{2.14}$$

  The deformed derivative of course becomes the usual derivative as a result

  $$\partial_\mu \mapsto \nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu. \tag{2.15}$$

  Thus the known quantum field theory is encoded in the zeroth order truncation of (2.9). Note that had we chosen the negative square root in (2.8) we wouldn't recover the Heisenberg algebra as the entire (2.9a) commutator would vanish.

- First-order approximation.

  More interesting is the first-order truncation which leads to the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra

  $$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i\left[\left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2}P^2\right)\eta^{\mu\nu} - l^2 P^\mu P^\nu\right], \tag{2.16}$$

  with representations

  $$X^\mu = x^\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad P^\mu = \left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2}p^2\right)p^\mu. \tag{2.17}$$

  The deformed derivative becomes

  $$\partial_\mu \mapsto \nabla_\mu = \left(1 + \frac{l^2}{2}\Box\right)\partial_\mu. \tag{2.18}$$

  Consistently the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra here arises automatically with $\xi' = 2\xi$, ensuring spacetime commutativity up to first order [19, 20].

- Second-order approximation.

  New results start appearing in the second-order truncation, which leads to the algebra

  $$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i\left[\left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2}P^2 - \frac{l^4}{4}P^4\right)\eta^{\mu\nu} - l^2 P^\mu P^\nu\right], \tag{2.19}$$

with representations

$$X^\mu = x^\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad P^\mu = \left(1 - \frac{l^2}{2}p^2 + \frac{l^4}{4}p^4\right) p^\mu. \tag{2.20}$$

The derivative deformation becomes

$$\partial_\mu \mapsto \nabla_\mu = \left(1 + \frac{l^2}{2}\Box + \frac{l^4}{4}\Box^2\right) \partial_\mu. \tag{2.21}$$

By now the procedure should be clear. At each new order we correct both the position-momentum commutators and momentum representation. Consequently the derivative deformation also gets corrections. By construction no modifications arise in the position operators and spacetime remains commutative order-by-order. Put it differently, imagine we had only the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra in it's up to first order spacetime commutative form. From second to higher orders non-commutativity would be inevitable. Though if we admit the algebra (2.9) then we also have to take into account the corrections to the momentum operator. It is precisely these corrections that remove the non-commutativity up to that order, see (2.20).

Note that the construction could have also been turned around, *i.e.* we could look for modifications of both the algebra and momentum operator in the second order $\xi' = 2\xi$ Quesne-Tkachuk algebra so that non-commutativity would appear only at third order. We could then repeat this process indefinitely to arbitrary order and arrive at (2.9) and (2.10) as a limit. In this approach (2.9) and (2.10) would seem to not be valid anymore for arbitrarily high momenta since we'd be outside the $p^2 \ll l^{-2}$ regime. On the hand, we've shown that taking spacetime commutativity as an hypothesis the algebra can be constructed without relying on any approximations.

- $\mathcal{N}$-th order approximation.

  To make the previous discussion more precise we write the general form of the binomial expansion

$$[X^\mu, P^\nu] = -i\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} 2^{n-1}\binom{1/2}{n}(-l^2P^2)^n\right)\eta^{\mu\nu} - l^2P^\mu P^\nu\right] \tag{2.22}$$

  where the representations are given by

$$X^\mu = x^\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad P^\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} \left(\frac{-l^2p^2}{2}\right)^n p^\mu. \tag{2.23}$$

  Finally the derivative operator deformation becomes

$$\partial_\mu \mapsto \nabla_\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} \left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^n \Box^n \partial_\mu. \tag{2.24}$$

The study of truncations also suggest an origin for the construction of higher derivative models of arbitrary order. Up until now only higher derivative models of just one order higher have been constructed from the momentum deformation operation (2.18) coming from the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra (2.16), most notably among them are the Podolsky-Lee-Wick QED and Stelle's higher-derivative gravity. Second and higher order effects in these constructions are more subtle due to the unavoidable appearence of spacetime non-commutativity. The novel feature of our approach is that the previous procedure can be understood as just the $\mathcal{N}=1$ truncation of the more general deformation (2.24) coming from an also modified algebra (2.22) at each order in such a way as to keep spacetime commutativity (2.23). Thus higher-derivative models of arbitrary order might be constructed from (2.9) in the same spirit as Lee-Wick and Stelle's models arise from (2.16) though now with guaranteed spacetime commutativity.

From (2.24) we will build in section 3 the effective higher-derivative models of quantum gravity to arbitrary order. It should be kept in mind though that the construction relies upon the truncation which is only justified for $p^2 \ll l^{-2}$, typically at intermediate scales between the Standard Model and Planck energy scales. Nonetheless desired features for the deep ultraviolet sector arise already in these effective theories as we will discuss in section 5. Rigorously for $p^2 \approx l^{-2}$ the full derivative deformation (2.11) must be used instead and we'd be lead to a non-local theory, with the scale of non-locality set by the minimal length, which we now discuss.

### 2.3 Generalized uncertainty principle

At each $\mathcal{N}$-th order approximation we may compute the uncertainty in the simultaneous measurement of position and momenta through the definition

$$\Delta X^\mu \Delta P^\nu = \frac{1}{2}|\langle [X^\mu, P^\nu]\rangle|. \tag{2.25}$$

For each $\mathcal{N}$-th order we insert the corresponding commutator on the r.h.s. to get the $\mathcal{N}$-th order correction to the uncertainty relations. For $\mathcal{N}=0$ we recover the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. For $\mathcal{N}=1$ we get the result due to Quesne and Tkachuk

$$\Delta X^\mu \Delta P^\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left[\left(1-\frac{l^2}{2}\langle P^2\rangle\right)\eta^{\mu\nu} - l^2\langle P^\mu P^\nu\rangle\right]\right| \tag{2.26}$$

It's remarkable characteristic is the minimum isotropic position uncertainty which is further identified with a minimum observable length (we already take $\xi' = 2\xi$):

$$(\Delta X)_{\text{min}} = l\sqrt{\frac{5}{2}\left(1-\frac{l^2}{2}\langle (P^0)^2\rangle\right)}. \tag{2.27}$$

The $\mathcal{N}=2$ order correction to the uncertainty principle may be computed in the same fashion

$$\Delta X^\mu \Delta P^\nu \geq \frac{1}{2}\left|\left[\left(1-\frac{l^2}{2}\langle P^2\rangle - \frac{l^4}{4}\langle P^4\rangle\right)\eta^{\mu\nu} - \langle P^\mu P^\nu\rangle\right]\right|. \tag{2.28}$$

The corresponding isotropic minimal length will be of the form (2.27) plus corrections of order $l^4$ inside the square-root. The exact formula does not present any conceptual

differences and has not as much practical use as compared to (2.27), whilst the corrections depend, among higher statistical moments, upon correlations between $P^0$ and $P^i$. For these reasons it suffices to consider (2.27) for the minimal length. This is the only instance where we will make such an approximation; higher order corrections do obviously matter for the lagrangian, since it introduces new vertices, thus leading to distinct theories that might even present different behavior regarding renormalizability and particle spectrum depending on the order of truncation. We now turn to the construction of such models from the algebra (2.9) and study these aspects in light of the minimal length.

## 3 Effective models of quantum gravity from minimal length deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory

As it was mentioned before, the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra may be used as a road to higher derivative theories, by using a kind of deformation procedure based on the momentum representation of this algebra. In this section we explore the possibility of construct higher derivative model by using a deformation procedure based on the modified Quesne-Tkachuk algebra and, applying this procedure to the Einstein-Hilbert theory we arrive to a class of effective theories of quantum gravity.

Let us start off with the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{EH} = \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\sqrt{|g|}\,R. \tag{3.1}$$

Considering the usual expansion around the Minkowski spacetime, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa h_{\mu\nu}$, we arrived at the following Lagrangian for the linearized version of the Einstein-Hilbert theory

$$\mathcal{L}^{lin}_{EH} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}\partial^\mu h^{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h\partial^\mu h + \partial_\mu h\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu h^{\mu\alpha}\partial^\nu h_{\nu\alpha}\,, \tag{3.2}$$

where $h = \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}$.

The deformation procedure in the derivative operator, *ie.* $\partial^\mu \mapsto \nabla^\mu$, leads to the deformation of the EH Lagrangian itself, $\mathcal{L}^{lin}_{EH} \mapsto \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{lin}_{EH}$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{lin}_{EH}$ is the minimal length deformed version for the EH theory, namely

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{lin}_{EH} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}\nabla^\mu h^{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu h\nabla^\mu h + \nabla_\mu h\nabla_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu h^{\mu\alpha}\nabla^\nu h_{\nu\alpha}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now, we recall that the deformed derivative operator, based in the $\mathcal{N}$-th order approximation of the modified algebra, is given by

$$\nabla_\mu = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} \left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^n \Box^n\partial_\mu. \tag{3.4}$$

Using the last equation, a generic Lagrangian term will be deformed as follows

$$\mathcal{L} \sim \partial\Phi\partial\Phi \;\mapsto\; \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \sim \nabla\Phi\nabla\Phi = \partial\Phi\partial\Phi + \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}}(n+1)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^n \partial\Phi\Box^n\partial\Phi + \mathcal{O}\left(l^{2(\mathcal{N}+1)}\right), \tag{3.5}$$

and, therefore, the Lagrangian associated with the deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{lin}_{EH} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}\partial^\mu h^{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h\partial^\mu h + \partial_\mu h\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu h^{\mu\alpha}\partial^\nu h_{\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1}(n+2)\times \tag{3.6}$$
$$\times\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^{n+1}\Big(-h_{\mu\nu}\Box^{n+2}h^{\mu\nu} + h\,\Box^{n+2}h - 2h\,\Box^{n+1}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} + 2h_{\mu\nu}\Box^{n+1}\partial^\mu\partial_\alpha h^{\alpha\nu}\Big).$$

On the other hand, let us consider a higher derivative gravity whose dynamics is described by the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \sqrt{|g|}\left[\frac{2}{\kappa^2}R + \sum_{n=0}^{N}\left(\frac{\alpha_n}{2\kappa^2}R\Box^n R + \frac{\beta_n}{2\kappa^2}R_{\mu\nu}\Box^n R^{\mu\nu}\right)\right], \tag{3.7}$$

where $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$ are real parameters with canonical mass dimension $[\alpha_n] = [\beta_n] = M^{-2(n+1)}$. The above Lagrangian defines a family of higher derivative models (depending on $2N+2$ real parameters) and has been considered in the context of effective theories of quantum gravity, being renormalizable in the case $N = 0$ [4] and super-renormalizable for $N \geq 1$ [5]. Unfortunately, this family of theories give rises to massive ghost states, which breaks the tree level unitarity of the $S$-matrix [5, 7]. At this point, some comments are in order: first, of course we could add terms like $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\Box R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ in the above Lagrangian, however, it can be demonstrated, after a simple redefinition of the parameters $\alpha_n$ and $\beta_n$, that this term dot not bring any additional information for the linearized Lagrangian; second, we could also include in the Lagrangian terms like $R^3$, $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\nu\alpha}R_\alpha{}^\mu$ and so on, however, it will also contribute only in the nonlinear sector of the Lagrangian. After the usual expansion around the Minkowski metric, we arrive at the linearized version of the Lagrangian (3.7), namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{lin}_{eff} = {}& \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h_{\nu\alpha}\partial^\mu h^{\nu\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu h\partial^\mu h + \partial_\mu h\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu h^{\mu\alpha}\partial^\nu h_{\nu\alpha} + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=0}^{N}\left[\frac{1}{4}\beta_n h_{\mu\nu}\Box^{n+2}h^{\mu\nu} + \left(\alpha_n + \frac{1}{4}\beta_n\right)\left(h\,\Box^{n+2}h - 2h\,\Box^{n+1}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu}\right) + \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\alpha_n + \frac{1}{2}\beta_n\right)h^{\mu\nu}\Box^n\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta h^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\beta_n h_{\mu\nu}\Box^{n+1}\partial^\mu\partial_\alpha h^{\alpha\nu}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

If we require the equivalence between the deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory (Eq. (3.6)) and the linearized version of the effective theory of quantum gravity (Eq. (3.8)), we identify

$$\alpha_n = 2(n+2)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^{n+1}, \tag{3.9a}$$

$$\beta_n = -4(n+2)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^{n+1}, \tag{3.9b}$$

and

$$N = \mathcal{N} - 1. \tag{3.9c}$$

Therefore, using the last set of equations we conclude that the deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory correspond to the linearized version of the following Lagrangian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{EH} = \sqrt{|g|}\left[\frac{2}{\kappa^2}R + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1}(n+2)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^{n+1}(R\Box^n R - 2R_{\mu\nu}\Box^n R^{\mu\nu})\right], \tag{3.10}$$

or, equivalently

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{EH} = \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\sqrt{|g|}\left[R - \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}-1}(n+2)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^{n+1}G_{\mu\nu}\Box^n R^{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{3.11}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$ is the Einstein tensor.

## 4 Renormalizability properties and the particle spectrum

As it was mentioned before, the problem of renormalizability *versus* unitarity remains as one of the most intriguing challenges of the theoretical physics [7]. The issue of non-renormalizability of the GR theory is usually overcome by introducing higher derivatives terms into the Lagrangian, in this vein we may arrive at renormalizable [4, 5], super-renormalizable [6, 29, 30] or finite theories of quantum gravity [31, 32]. However, the usual higher derivative approach breaks the tree-level unitarity of the theory, leading to unphysical states with negative norm. The proposal of this section is to show that the class of theories obtained from the minimal length deformation of the EH Lagrangian may, in certain sense, evade the problem of incompatibility between renormalizability and unitarity.

### 4.1 Renormalizability

Let us start from the discussion about the renormalizability properties of the deformed theory given by (3.11). Taking the usual expansion around the minkowskian background we may recast the deformed Lagrangian (3.11) as follows (neglecting all the index structure)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{EH} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\kappa^n\left(h^n\,\partial h\,\partial h + l^2h^n\partial^2 h\,\partial^2 h + l^4h^n\partial^3 h\,\partial^3 h + \cdots + l^{2\mathcal{N}}h^n\partial^{\mathcal{N}+1}h\,\partial^{\mathcal{N}+1}h\right). \tag{4.1}$$

The quadratic part of the above Lagrangian gives the propagator with UV behavior of the form $\langle h(k)h(-k)\rangle|_{UV} \sim k^{-2(\mathcal{N}+1)}$, therefore, taking into account that in the UV region each vertex contribute $2(\mathcal{N}+1)$ *momenta*, the primary divergence of an arbitrary diagram is given by

$$\delta_{\text{diagram}} = [4 - 2(\mathcal{N}+1)]I_h - 4(V_{\text{tot}} - 1) + 2(\mathcal{N}+1)V_{\text{tot}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $I_h$ stand for the number of internal lines associated with $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $V_{\text{tot}}$ is the number of total vertex. It is important to observe that $V_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty}V_n$, where $V_3$ denotes the number o tri-vertex, $V_4$ stands for the number of quadri-vertex and so on. Bearing in mind the topological relations

$$2I_h + E_h = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty}kV_k, \tag{4.3a}$$

and

$$I + 1 = L + V, \tag{4.3b}$$

where $L$ is the number of loops, we may recast the primary divergence (4.2) as follows

$$\delta_{\text{diagram}} = 4 - (\mathcal{N} - 1)(L + 1). \tag{4.4}$$

By using the last formula, we may conclude that:

i) $\mathcal{N} = 0$ (General relativity) $\Rightarrow$ Non-renormalizable;

ii) $\mathcal{N} = 1$ (Stelle's theory) $\Rightarrow$ Renormalizable;

iii) $\mathcal{N} = 2 \Rightarrow$ Super-renormalizable;

iv) $\mathcal{N} \geq 3 \Rightarrow$ Finite.

It is important to observe that this is a superficial analysis and symmetries may improve these results by means of Slavnov-Taylor identities. Indeed the above case has been studied in [4], though in these works there are no constraints among the coefficients. Investigation is under way as to whether the constraints destroy the finiteness of the theory. An initial analysis suggests that the behavior of divergences is improved, however, we haven't taken into account the possible appearance of anomalies. A concrete discussion of these questions will be the subject of a future publication.

### 4.2 Particle spectrum and tree-level unitarity

Now let us analyze the particle spectrum associated with the deformed Lagrangian (3.11). We first note that the free propagator has the form

$$D_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(k) = \frac{1}{\Delta(k^2)}\left(P^{(2)}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}P^{(0-s)}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}\right) + (\cdots), \tag{4.5}$$

where $(\cdots)$ include all the terms which are irrelevant for the spectrum, $P^{(2)}$ and $P^{(0-s)}$ are the Barnes-Rivers projectors (see, *e.g.*, Appendix B of Ref. [33]) and we have defined

$$\Delta(k^2) = k^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} (n+1)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^n (-k^2)^n. \tag{4.6}$$

In order to investigate the tree-level unitarity, we compute the saturated propagator, namely

$$SP(k) \equiv T^{\mu\nu} D_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(k) T^{\alpha\beta} = \left(\frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{l^2}{2}\frac{F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2;1;2)}{F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2;0;1)}\right)\left(T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}T^2\right), \tag{4.7}$$

where we use the following definition

$$F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2;\zeta;\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}-\zeta} (n+\xi)\left(\frac{l^2}{2}\right)^n (-k^2)^n. \tag{4.8}$$

Since we are interested in the particle spectrum we have to look at the pole structure of the saturated propagator, in this vein it is not difficult to see that there is a simple pole at $k^2 = 0$ (for all $\mathcal{N}$) and there are additional poles identified as the zeros of $F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2; 0; 1)$[1].

Let us first take care about the pole at $k^2 = 0$, this pole correspond to a massless particle with spin-2, to be identified with the usual graviton. In view of verify the tree-level unitarity, we compute the residue of the saturated propagator at $k^2 = 0$, leading to the following result [34]

$$Res(SP)|_{k^2=0} = \left(T_{\mu\nu}T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}T^2\right)\Big|_{k^2=0} > 0, \tag{4.9}$$

hence, the massless particle associated with the pole $k^2 = 0$ is "healthy" in the sense of tree-level unitarity.

The zeros structure of the function $F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2; 0; 1)$ cannot be found for an arbitrary $\mathcal{N}$, however one can use numerical techniques in order to determine the zeros associated with an specific $\mathcal{N}$. In figure 1 we plot the zeros structure of $F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2; 0; 1)$ for values of $\mathcal{N}$ ranging from $\mathcal{N} = 1$ up to $\mathcal{N} = 10$. As one can see the zeros are not restricted to the real axis, otherwise they are distributed on the complex plane.




**Figure 1**. Structure of non-trivial poles of the propagator (4.5) on the complex plane.

In fact, it can be demonstrated that the zeros structure of $F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2; 0; 1)$ has the following distribution on the complex plane:

i) $\mathcal{N} = \text{Odd} \Rightarrow$ A single root at $k^2 = m^2$ $(m^2 > 0)$ and $\mathcal{N} - 1$ complex roots ("complex masses");

ii) $\mathcal{N} = \text{Even} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ complex roots ("complex masses").

[1]It can be shown that if $\tilde{k}^2$ is a zero of $F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2; 0; 1)$, than $F_{\mathcal{N}}(\tilde{k}^2; 1; 2) \neq 0$. Therefore, the zeros of the function $F_{\mathcal{N}}(k^2; 0; 1)$ are, in fact, poles of the saturated propagator.

As one can see in the above results, there are two distinct kind of poles in the propagator, the first one being real poles (occurring only for $\mathcal{N}$ odd) and second being complex poles (occurring for $\mathcal{N}$ both odd and even).

In the case of real poles, the same analysis done for the pole $k^2 = 0$ may be performed and it can be verified that it corresponds to a massive ghost particle. It is important to note that this result is already expected when we deal with higher derivative models.

Now let us discuss the complex poles. Although it is not an usual situation, we intend to argue that it could lead to a very interesting physical scenario. The usual interpretation relates the poles of the propagator with the masses of the physical particles described by the theory. However, for complex poles this identification cannot be made, since masses must be a real observable. A possible interpretation may be identify the imaginary part of the poles with the decay rate of unstable particles [35, 36]. In this vein we refer to a sequence of papers by H. Yamamoto [37–39], where a careful analysis of the physical relevance of propagators with complex poles was performed. It was argued that the inclusion of complex poles in the propagator may lead to problems in the causal structure of the theory, more precisely, the *microcausality*[2]. Nonetheless, it was noted that *microcausality* is not an indispensable concept from the observational point of view, since we cannot access arbitrarily small spacetime intervals, in this sense, only *macrocausality* is required. Indeed the causal structure was studied by Yamamoto for a theory described by a scalar field containing complex poles and in this case the *macrocausal* structure is respected, although *microcausality* is violated. The same situation arises in the theory (3.11), though the region where *microcausality* violation takes place is related to the minimal length.

A natural question which arises is how to identify the boundary between *micro* and *macrocausality*. We shall argue that the generalized uncertainty principle establishes a natural boundary between both concepts. As it was mentioned before, the complex poles in the propagator could jeopardize the causal structure of the theory due to the presence of spurious particles with complex "masses" in the spectrum. However, since the imaginary part of the complex poles might be associated with the decay rates of these particles, there will be a finite region where they can propagate, since it corresponds to unstable particles. In fact, it can be (numerically) verified that the imaginary part of the complex poles of the propagator (4.7) are of order $\sim 1/l^2$ and, as a consequence, the life-time of these modes are of the order $\tau \sim l$. Additionally, it can be shown that the group velocity respects the condition $v_g < 1$. Therefore, the decay length $\Gamma$ of these particles also are of order $\sim l$.

Although there is a specific region in the light-cone where these modes can survive, see figure 2, if we deal with the concept of minimal length the problems with *microcausality* are avoided, since the infinitesimal time-like interval where *causality* is violated is located inside a region with characteristic scale of the order $\sim l$. Considering that the relation (2.27) introduces a minimum observable length, i.e., a fundamental uncertainty in the position measurements, the undesired consequences of the complex modes would not be detectable. Finally, regarding the contribution of these modes to the $S$-matrix, we refer to the papers by Modesto and Shapiro [40, 41], where the authors claimed that the complex poles do not

[2]By *microcausality* we mean *causality* for an arbitrarily infinitesimal time-like interval.

contribute to the (non-)unitarity of the theory, since they correspond to unstable particles. In this case, the theory may be viewed as unitary in the Lee-Wick sense.




**Figure 2**. Space-time region where the microcausality violation occurs (in the case $\mathcal{N} = 2$).

## 5 The non-relativistic potential energy

Since there is no experimental hints on what we should expect from a theory of quantum gravity, we usually look for classical corrections coming from the quantum regime. An interesting probe is the non-relativistic potential energy, which brings some new contribution to the usual Newtonian potential energy. In order to compute this quantity we follow reference [33], where the authors derived a straightforward expression to compute the non-relativistic gravitational potential energy associated with two particles, with respective masses $M_1$ and $M_2$, separated by a distance $|\vec{r}|$, namely

$$E(r) = 8\pi G M_1 M_2 \int \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} \mathcal{P}_{00,00}(\vec{k}) \,, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{00,00}(\vec{k})$ is the $\mu = \nu = \alpha = \beta = 0$ component (evaluated at $k_0 = 0$) of the so-called "modified propagator" $\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(k)$, obtained by neglecting all terms of the usual Feynman propagator that are orthogonal to external conserved currents. In the specific case of the propagator (4.5), we obtain the following expression for the "modified propagator"

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(k) = \frac{1}{2\,\Delta(k^2)} \Big( \eta_{\mu\alpha}\eta_{\nu\beta} + \eta_{\nu\alpha}\eta_{\mu\beta} - \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\alpha\beta} \Big) \,, \tag{5.2}$$

and, as a consequence, we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{00,00}(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{2\,\Delta(-\vec{k}^2)} . \tag{5.3}$$

In order to compute a suitable expression for the non-relativistic potential energy, let us note that one can write

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(k^2)} = \frac{1}{k^2} + \sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}/2}\left(\frac{c_l}{k^2-\mu_l^2} + \frac{c_l^*}{k^2-\mu_l^{2*}}\right), \qquad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \text{ even}, \tag{5.4a}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(k^2)} = \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{c_0}{k^2-m^2} + \sum_{l=1}^{(\mathcal{N}-1)/2}\left(\frac{c_l}{k^2-\mu_l^2} + \frac{c_l^*}{k^2-\mu_l^{2*}}\right), \qquad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \text{ odd}, \tag{5.4b}$$

where $m^2$, $\mu_l^2$ and $\mu_l^{2*}$ are the roots[3] of the polynomial given by (4.6). It is important to say that $m$ and $c_0$ are real parameters, while $\mu_l$ and $c_l$ are complex. In addition, we may write the following formal expressions

$$c_0 = \lim_{k^2\to m^2}\frac{k^2-m^2}{\Delta(k^2)} \equiv Res\left(\frac{1}{\Delta(k^2)}\right)\Big|_{k^2=m^2}, \tag{5.5a}$$

and

$$c_l = \lim_{k^2\to \mu_l^2}\frac{k^2-\mu_l^2}{\Delta(k^2)} \equiv Res\left(\frac{1}{\Delta(k^2)}\right)\Big|_{k^2=\mu_l^2}. \tag{5.5b}$$

Taking into account equation (5.1) and (5.3), along with (5.4a) and (5.4b), we obtain (after integration)

$$E(r) = -\frac{GM_1M_2}{r}\left\{1 + 2\sum_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}/2} e^{-\alpha_l r}\Big[a_l\cos(\beta_l r) + b_l\sin(\beta_l r)\Big]\right\}, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \text{ even}, \tag{5.6a}$$

and

$$E(r) = -\frac{GM_1M_2}{r}\left\{1 + c_0e^{-mr} + 2\sum_{l=1}^{(\mathcal{N}-1)/2} e^{-\alpha_l r}\Big[a_l\cos(\beta_l r) + b_l\sin(\beta_l r)\Big]\right\}, \quad \text{for } \mathcal{N} \text{ odd}, \tag{5.6b}$$

where we have defined $\alpha_l = \mathrm{Re}(\mu_l)$, $\beta_l = \mathrm{Im}(\mu_l)$, $a_l = \mathrm{Re}(c_l)$ and $b_l = \mathrm{Im}(c_l)$. Finally, in figure 3 we plot the non-relativistic gravitational potential energy for some values of $\mathcal{N}$.

As one can see in figure 3, the non-relativistic potential energy (for $\mathcal{N} \neq 0$) has a remarkable behavior at $r = 0$, it converges to a finite value. The mechanism behind the cancellation of Newtonian singularity was recently explored in references [42, 43]. The idea is quite simple, in fact the non-relativistic potential energy may be split into two contributions, namely

$$E(r) = E_0(r) + \Delta E(r), \tag{5.7}$$

where $E_0(r) = -GM_1M_2/r$ stands for the usual Newtonian potential energy associated with the physical graviton and $\Delta E(r)$ represents the remaining contribution coming from

[3] It can be numerically verified that the polynomial (4.6) admits only single roots, which is a crucial assumption for deriving equations (5.4a) and (5.4b).




**Figure 3**. The non-relativistic gravitational potential energy for different values of $\mathcal{N}$. We have defined $E_0(l) = -GM_1M_2/l$.

the non-trivial poles. Indeed, in figure 4 we have plotted the contribution $\Delta E(r)$ and, as one can see, it has a repulsive behavior when we approach to $r = 0$, canceling the Newtonian singularity at the origin. It worth to mention this repulsive behavior is valid for arbitrary distances in the case $\mathcal{N} = 1$, while in the case $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$ there is a small region where the potential energy becomes negative due to the presence of oscillating terms.




**Figure 4**. The contribution of the extra terms to the non-relativistic gravitational potential energy for different values of $\mathcal{N}$. We have defined $E_0(l) = -GM_1M_2/l$.

Another interesting feature of the phenomenological implications of the gravitational interaction at small distances may be seen when we look for the Newtonian-like gravitational force. In fact, the radial component of the force can be directly computed from the non-relativistic potential energy by means of the usual formula $F(r) = -\partial E(r)/\partial r$. In figure 5 we have plotted the gravitation force for diverse values of $\mathcal{N}$. As one can see, the behavior at the origin is surprising for the cases $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$: the gravitational force is null at $r = 0$. From the phenomenological point of view, this is not a problem, since we cannot probe the gravitational interaction for small distances. Indeed, we call the attention to reference [44], where the author investigated the short distance freedom of quantum gravity by means of a renormalization group analysis. Nonetheless, the gravitational force reproduces the Newtonian $1/r^2$ behavior for large distances compared with the minimal length.




**Figure 5**. The gravitational force for different values of $\mathcal{N}$. We have defined $F_0(l) = -GM_1M_2/l^2$.

## 6 Final remarks

In this paper we have introduced a modified covariant quantum algebra presenting both spacetime commutativity and a minimal length, in the sense of a minimal uncertainty in the position measurement. The algebra is built as the simplest extension from the (up to first order) spacetime commutative Quesne-Tkachuk algebra by modifying the momentum operator so that spacetime is kept commutative. The resulting algebra is exact, whilst the Quesne-Tkachuk algebra arises as the first order truncation for small momenta as compared to the cutoff set by the minimal length scale. In this regime we study higher order truncations and find how the momentum operator is corrected order by order to ensure spacetime commutativity.

Motivated by a series of papers by Moayedi *et. al.* [21–25], Silva *et.al.* [26] and Dias *et.al.* [27], we apply this new algebra in the construction of higher-derivative models,

by means of a kind of deformation procedure. As it was demonstrated in section 3, the deformation of the Einstein-Hilbert theory gives a class of theories containing the same particle content of the higher-derivative gravities constructed by the inclusion of $R\Box^n R$ and $R_{\mu\nu}\Box^n R^{\mu\nu}$ terms in the Lagrangian. In particular, with a proper choice of the parameters we may identify the deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory as being the linearized version of (3.11). In fact, we have obtained a class of higher-derivative models coming from the minimal length deformed Einstein-Hilbert theory, each one depending on the parameter $\mathcal{N}$ which is associated with the truncation of the modified minimal length algebra.

Higher-derivative models of gravity have received considerably attention over the last decades, mainly due to their renormalizability properties. Since the seminal work by Stelle [4], it has been known that fourth-derivative models of gravity are renormalizable. In this spirit, we have performed an initial analysis of the renormalizabity of the class of deformed theories considered here, more specifically, we compute the primary UV divergence of arbitrary Feynman diagrams and we have found the possibility of renormalizable, super-renormalizable or even finite theories. We emphasize that this was just a primitive study and, a more detailed analysis should taking into account the symmetries of these models. We expect that the UV behavior may be improved in the final result, however, this is an investigation in progress and shall be presented in a future paper.

One of the most important problems of higher-derivative models is the presence of spurious states with negative norm, called ghosts, which contribute to the non-unitarity of the $S$-matrix. Indeed, there is an apparent incompatibility between unitarity and renormalizability of such gravitational models. The analysis of the particle spectra associated with the deformed models appears to give a promising result. In the case of $\mathcal{N}$ even, one can evade the problem of tree-unitarity by a proper interpretation of complex poles in the propagator, combined with the interpretation of a minimal uncertainty in the position measurement. In this vein, we follow the interpretation of Yamamoto for the complex poles [37–39], which compromises the *causal* structure of the theory, but only in a microscopic region where we could not access by experimental means. This interpretation may be support by the minimal length hypotheses, which determines a physical limit in the measurement of distances. In this case, the violation of *causality* occurs in this region where we cannot access. Notwithstanding, the *causal* structure for large distances, compared with the minimal length, is maintained. In this case the theory is unitary in the Lee-Wick sense, since all the massive ghost-like states correspond to unstable particles [40, 41]. The same situation regarding the complex pole apply to the case of $\mathcal{N}$ odd, however, in this case the problem of non-unitarity should be faced, since there is a massive ghost (associated with a real pole) in the spectrum.

In order to investigate the classical consistence of the deformed theory we have computed, in Sec. 5, the non-relativistic gravitational potential energy, inspired by the paper of Ref. [33]. As a result we found that the Newtonian potential energy is extended by with some terms associated with the additional poles of the propagator, the real poles contributing with Yukawa-like terms and the complex ones contributing with damped-oscillating portions. Remarkably, except for the case $\mathcal{N} = 0$, the non-relativistic potential energy is finite at $r = 0$ [42, 43]. The connection between renormalizability and the behavior of the

potential energy at the origin was considered in [7], leading to the conjecture that renormalizable higher-derivative gravities have finite potential energy at $r = 0$, contributing to our expectation on the renormalizability properties of the deformed theories.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to J.A. Helayël-Neto for interesting discussions and for reading the manuscript. This work was funded by the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq).

## References

[1] Woodard, R.P., *How far are we from the quantum theory of gravity?*, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **72** (2009) pg. 126002.

[2] Carlip, S., *Quantum gravity: a progress report*, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **64** (2001) pg. 885-942.

[3] Buchbinder, I.L., Odintsov, S.D. and Shapiro, I.L. *Effective Action in Quantum Gravity* , IOP Publishing, Bristol (1992).

[4] Stelle, K. S., *Renormalization of Higher Derivative Quantum Gravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977) pg. 953-969.

[5] Modesto, L., *Super-renormalizable Multidimensional Quantum Gravity: Theory and Applications*, *Astron. Rev.* **8** (2013) pg. 4-33.

[6] Asorey, M., Lopez, J.L. and Shapiro, I.L., *Some remarks on high derivative quantum gravity*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A12** (1997) pg. 5711-5734.

[7] Accioly, A., Helayel-Neto, J., Scatena, E. and Turcati, R., *Solving the riddle of the incompactibility between renormalizability and unitarity in $N$-dimensional Einstein gravity enlarged by curvature-squared terms*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D22** (2013) pg. 1342015.

[8] Maggiore, M., *A generalized uncertainty principle in quantum gravity*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 304** (1993) pg. 65-69.

[9] Snyder, H. S., *Quantized space-time*, *Phys. Rev.* **71** (1947) pg. 38-41.

[10] Seiberg, N. and Witten, E., *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, *JHEP* **09** (1999) pg. 032.

[11] Hossenfelder, S., *Minimal length scale scenarios for quantum gravity*, *Living Rev. Relativity* **16** (2013) pg. 2.

[12] Reuter, M. and Schwindt, J.M., *A minimal length from the cutoff modes in asymptotically safe quantum gravity*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) pg. 070.

[13] Percacci, R. and Vacca, G.P., *Asymptotic safety, emergence and minimal length*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **27** (2010) pg. 245026.

[14] Kempf, A., *Non-pointlike particles in harmonic oscillators*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** (1997) pg. 2093-2101.

[15] Kim, W. and Oh, J.J., *Determining the minimal length scale of the generalized uncertainty principle from the entropy-area relationship*, *JHEP* **01** (2008) pg. 034.

[16] Basilakos, S., Das, S. and Vagenas, E.C., *Quantum Gravity corrections and entropy at the Planck time*, *JCAP* **09** (2010) pg. 027.

[17] Ali, A.F., *No existence of black holes at LHC due to minimal length in quantum gravity*, *JHEP* **09** (2012) pg. 067.

[18] Palma, G.A. and Patil, S.P., *Inflation with a stringy minimal length, reworked*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) pg. 005.

[19] Quesne, C. and Tkachuk, V. M., *Lorentz-covariant deformed algebra with minimal length and application to the 1+1-dimensional Dirac oscillator*, *J. Phys.* **A39** (2006) pg. 10909-10922.

[20] Quesne, C. and Tkachuk, V. M., *Lorentz-covariant deformed algebra with minimal length*, *Czech. J. Phys.* **56** (2006) pg. 1269-1274.

[21] Moayedi, S. K., Setare, M. R. and Moayeri, H., *Quantum Gravitational Corrections to the Real Klein-Gordon Field in the Presence of a Minimal Length*, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **49** (2010) pg. 2080-2088.

[22] Moayedi, S. K., Setare, M. R. and Moayeri, H., *Formulation of the Spinor Field in the Presence of a Minimal Length Based on the Quesne-Tkachuk Algebra*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A26** (2011) pg. 4981-4990.

[23] Moayedi, S. K., Setare, M. R. and Moayeri, H., *Formulation of an Electrostatic Field with a Charge Density in the Presence of a Minimal Length Based on the Kempf Algebra*, *Europhys. Lett.* **98** (2012) pg. 50001.

[24] Moayedi, S. K., Setare, M. R. and Khosropour, B., *Lagrangian Formulation of a Magnetostatic Field in the Presence of a Minimal Length Scale Based on the Kempf Algebra*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A28** (2013) pg. 1350142.

[25] Moayedi, S. K., Setare, M. R. and Khosropour, B., *Formulation of Electrodynamics with an External Source in the Presence of a Minimal Measurable Length*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2013** (2013) pg. 657870.

[26] Silva, A.V., Abreu, E.M.C. and Neves, M.J., *Quantum electrodynamics and the electron self-energy in a deformed space with a minimal length scale*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A31** (2016) pg. 1650096.

[27] Dias, M., Hoff da Silva, J.M. and Scatena, E., *Higher-order theories from the minimal length*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A31** (2016) pg. 1650087.

[28] Faizal, M., *Supersymmetry breaking as a new source for the generalized uncertainty principle*, *Physics Letters B* **757** (2016) pg. 244-246.

[29] Modesto, L., *Super-renormalizable quantum gravity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D86** (2012) pg. 044005.

[30] Donà, P., Giaccari, S., Modesto, L., Rachwal, L. and Zhu, Y., *Scattering amplitudes in super-renormalizable gravity*, *JHEP* **08** (2015) pg. 38.

[31] Modesto, L. and Rachwal, L., *Super-renormalizable and finite gravitational theories*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **889** (2014) pg. 228-248.

[32] Biswas, T., Gerwick, E., Koivisto, T. and Mazumdar, A., *Towards Singularity- and Ghost-Free Theories of Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **108** (2012) pg. 031101.

[33] Accioly, A., Helayel-Neto, J., Barone. F.E. and Herdy, W., *Simple prescription for computing the interparticle potential energy for D-dimensional gravity systems*, *Class.Quant.Grav.* **32** (2015) pg. 035021.

[34] Accioly, A., Azeredo, A. and Mukai, H., *Propagator, tree-level unitarity and effective nonrelativistic potential for higher-derivative gravity theories in D dimensions*, *Journ. of Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) pg. 473.

[35] Kuksa, V., *Complex-Mass Definition and the Structure of Unstable ParticleâĂŹs Propagator*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015** (2015) pg. 490238.

[36] Turcati, R. and Neves, M.J., *Complex-mass shell renormalization of the higher-derivative electrodynamics*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) pg. 456.

[37] Yamamoto, H., *Convergent Field Theory with Complex Masses*, *Prog. of Theor. Phys.* **42** (1969) pg. 707.

[38] Yamamoto, H., *Convergent Field Theory with Complex Masses. II*, *Prog. of Theor. Phys.* **43** (197) pg. 520.

[39] Yamamoto, H., *Observability of Complex Ghosts and Tachyons*, *Prog. of Theor. Phys.* **55** (1976) pg. 1998.

[40] Modesto, L., *Superrenormalizable or finite Lee-Wick quantum gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **909** (2016) pg. 584-606.

[41] Modesto, L. and Shapiro, I.L., *Super-renormalizable quantum gravity with complex ghosts*, *Phys. Lett. B* **755** (2016) pg. 279-284.

[42] Modesto, L., Paula Netto, T. and Shapiro, I.L., *On Newtonian singularities in higher derivative gravity models*, *Journ. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2015) pg. 098.

[43] Giacchini, B.L., *On the cancellation of Newtonian singularities in higher-derivative gravity*, arXiv:1609.05432 [hep-th].

[44] Narain, G. and Anishetty, R., *Short distance freedom of quantum gravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **711** (2012) pg. 128-131.